

.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 1 0 DEC 2004

WIPO

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference CH920020013 International application No. PCT/IB 03/03187		FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)		
		International filing date (day/mon 07.07.2003	th/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 29.07.2002
International F H04L9/32	Patent Classification (IPC) or bo	oth national classification and IPC		
Applicant INT,ERNAT	IONAL BUSINESS MAC	HINES CORPORATION et.	al.	Magazine in the second of the
1. This in Author	iternational preliminary exaitity and is transmitted to the	mination report has been prepa applicant according to Article	red by this Inte 36.	rnational Preliminary Examining
2. This R	EPORT consists of a total of	of 6 sheets, including this cove	r sheet.	• .
t (peen amended and are the see Rule 70.16 and Section	basis for this report and/or she n 607 of the Administrative Inst	ets containing r	on, claims and/or drawings which have ectifications made before this Authority the PCT).
rnese	annexes consist of a total of	or sileets.		
3. * This re	eport contains indications re	elating to the following items: -		٠٠٠
_	☑ Basis of the opinion			
	☐ Priority			
***		opinion with regard to novelty,	inventive step	and industrial applicability
	Lack of unity of inventReasoned statementcitations and explanal		rd to novelty, in	nventive step or industrial applicability;
VI I	☐ Certain documents cit	• • •		
VII 1	☐ Certain defects in the	international application	•	
ارسالالا بعيد		on the international application,	ari. A	mages stars
Date of subm	nission of the demand	Date	of completion of t	his report
23.02.200	4	09.1	2.2004	
	alling address of the internation xamining authority: European Patent Office	nal Autho	rized Officer	Software Paterings . Eg
<u></u>	D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 5236 Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465		T hone No. +49 89	2399-7124

(**)**

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IB 03/03187

I.	Basis	of the	report
----	--------------	--------	--------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	De	scription, Pages		•	
	1-1	6	as originally filed		
	Cla	ims, Numbers	an the distance of the	n n sa wysia in instrum w T	fourtee and a second of the se
	1-1	2	as originally filed		
	Dra	wings, Sheets			
	1/5	-5/5	as originally filed		
2.	Wit lan	h regard to the lang t guage in which the in	rage, all the elements marked ab ternational application was filed,	ove were available or furnish unless otherwise indicated u	ed to this Authority in the
	The	ese elements were av	ailable or furnished to this Autho	rity in the following language	: , which is:
		the language of a tr	anslation furnished for the purpos	es of the international searc	h (under Rule 23.1(b))
			lication of the international applic		(3.77).
			anslation furnished for the purpos		ry examination (under
3.	`Witi	h regard to any nucl ornational preliminary	eotide and/or amino acid seque examination was carried out on t	nce disclosed in the internat he basis of the sequence list	ional application, the '
		contained in the inte	rnational application in written fo	m.	
		filed together with th	e international application in com	puter readable form.	
		furnished subseque	ntly to this Authority in written for	n.	
		furnished subseque	ntly to this Authority in computer	eadable form.	
		The statement that to in the international a	he subsequently furnished writte pplication as filed has been furni	n sequence listing does not g shed.	go beyond the disclosure
	. 🔲 .	The statement that the listing has been furn	he information recorded in compr ished.	uter readable form is identica	ll to the written sequence
4.	The	amendments have r	esulted in the cancellation of:	•	
		the description,	pages:		
		the claims,	Nos.:		
		the drawings,	sheets:		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

; · · · · .

International application No.

PCT/IB 03/03187

5	. Ц	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).
		(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)
6	Ad	ditional observations, if necessary:
Ш	. No	n-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
1.	Th ob	e questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- vious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:
		the entire international application,
		claims Nos.
		because:
		the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):
	×	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 1-12 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
		see separate sheet
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
	П.	no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos.
2.	A m	neaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/ imino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative ructions:
		the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.
		the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.

INTERNATIONAL PREI

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Reference is made to the following document:

D1: XP010236752 "Self-certified identity information using the minimum knowledge" HYUNG-KYU YANG and AL.

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

The present application does not meet the requirements of Article 5 PCT. The reasons being the following:

Independent claim 1 discloses "selecting a secret base value (g',h',x') in (1)dependence on the modulus value (N)".

However it is seems that it is not disclosed in the description how the selection of the secret base value in dependence on the modulus is performed.

On page 10, line 21, the applicant has written "Given the secret cryptographic key (2) sk.".

However neither the secret key sk, nor the index "I" have been defined. The applicant does not explain if the index "I" in the present case refers to the numbering of a server or the generation of a new key.

Moreover on page 9 the applicant has used the index "I" to refer to the index of an exponent (see formulas line 26), in line 21 he introduces sk, and in line 23 he uses the index "I" twice in the formulae; as a result it is no longer clear to which element (exponent or key) the index refers and consequently it is not possible to implement practically the invention.

Furthermore the signature value is referred as "I". Leading to an obscurity in the use of the letter "I" as to it's value.

The same comment applies as well to what is written on page 11.

The following symbols used in the description on page 10 and 11 have not been (3)

defined:

- page 10, line 25 and page 11, line 28. 1)
- "H()" page 10 line 25 and page 11, line 27 ii)
- The phrases "defining an order of the exponent values" and "publishing a (4) description" are neither defined in the claims nor in the description.

Thus the present application does not meet the requirements of Article 5 PCT because the invention is not disclosed in manner sufficiently clear and complete to enable a skilled person to carry it out.

Notwithstanding the above mentioned points, the following on clarity should be noted:

1 The claims do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT as claims 1, 4, 7, and 8 have been written as independent method claims. It is actually noted that there could be a common inventive concept at this stage. The applicant is therefore asked to emphasized this concept by clearly linking the signature generation, verification and revocation method to the key generation method.

If the applicant fails or refuses to do so, non unity will be raised having regard to the disclosure of D1, D2 or D3 over the common technical features of claims 1, 4, 7 and 8 that are "the exponent values and a secret key".

2 It is clear from the description on pages 9, 10 and 11 that the following features are essential to the definition of the invention:

For independent claims 1, 4 and 7:

Deriving a public base value (g,h,x) from the exponent value:

(1)
$$g = g^{\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i+1}}$$

$$(3) \quad x = x^{i \prod_{i=1}^{n} 1 \le i \le 1}$$

For independent claims 4 and 7:

Deriving a second signature element from a provided secret cryptographic key (g',,h',,x',,):

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPAR



International application No. PCT/IB 03/03187

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

(4)
$$g^{ei}_{i} = g$$

(5)
$$h^{ei} = h$$

(6)
$$x^{ei}_{i} = x$$

wherein the signature value is (i,y,a) with:

(7)
$$y=x_ig^a_ih_i^{a^{\bigoplus}H(m)}$$

For claim 7:

satisfy a known relationship with the message m:

(8)
$$v^{el} = xq^a h^{a^{\oplus}H(m)}$$

Since these claims do not contain these features they do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT taken in combination with Rule 6.3(b) PCT that any independent claim must contain all the technical features essential to the definition of the invention.

- 2 The category of claim 12 is not clear as it discloses on one hand a network device but refers to the computer program of claim 11 (Article 6 PCT).
- Although for the above reasons no fully reasoned opinion in respect of novelty and inventive step can be issued, it appears that the claims as presently drafted do not meet the requirements of Article 33(1) PCT having regard to the disclosure of D1 for example, see paragraph 4 and 5.